

Academic Planning Council Minutes

A regular meeting of the Academic Planning Council was held on November 21, 2008, Strosacker Room, Park Library. A quorum being present, the meeting was called to order at 1:30 p.m.

Present: C. Riordan (Chair), R. Christie, R. Coles, D. Green, M. Fields, R. Francis, M. Hancock, T. Hartshorne, K. Koch (for K. Adams), S.A. Martin, T. Masterson, J. Matty (for I. Davison), T. Moore, L. Orf, G. Shapiro, S. Stan, R. Stecker, J. Wallace, P. Williamson, D. Wisner, W. Zhou // **Absent:** B. Roscoe // **Guests:** Claudia Douglass, Regina Garza-Mitchell, Sarah Marshall, and Al Zainea

New Business

I. New Program and New Initiative Proposals:

- A. **School of Medicine: Doctor of Medicine (MD).** Proposal presented by Claudia Douglass. Issues raised by APC:
1. Is there anyone on committee with medical ethical standards background? Answer: Member suggested maybe some from Philosophy and Religion could be on committee. Douglass indicated there was a slot for an ethics person on the committee. Rob Noggle was involved in initial planning. Students will receive background in ethics and health communication.
 2. Confused about students that will be accepted. Will they have a bachelor's degree? Answer: We are hoping to attract individuals who could go into fast track, guaranteeing a place in the Medical School when first admitted.
 3. One reason for locating a medical school at CMU is because there could potentially be a lack of doctors in Northern Michigan. Is there data to support this? Answer: Data show students go back home or somewhere similar. Students tend to practice where they completed residency. There is no guarantee. One percent of students go into rural medicine. Eighty percent of PAs practice in rural areas. Smaller communities may contribute to costs.
 4. Is there a way to lockdown so they stay in area? Answer: Could be, maybe by offering loan forgiveness.
 5. Mike Fields suggested program provide business knowledge as a graduate certificate or MBA. Answer: Those courses were anticipated. Who do we work with? Per Mike Fields, he will be point of contact.
 6. What is meant by developing competencies? Answer: Talking to families and being sensitive to the cultural backgrounds and beliefs.
 7. Is there a means to identify application who would stay in rural areas? Answer: It was recommended that Pam Gray (CDA) could assist with developing interview questions.
 8. Would like to see other health education areas expanded.
 9. Is there to be an additional building, beyond the HP addition currently being discussed? Answer: Yes, as part of the Bio Tech building to be located east of the new education building.
 10. How would the CHP addition be funded? Answer: Funding would come from university reserves and in years 8, 9, and 10 would pay back reserves.
 11. When would we start building? Answer: Schematic design would start 2009. Total cost projection is \$15M.
 12. Do you see the revenue increasing each year to be able to pay back in years 8, 9, and 10? Answer: Yes.
 13. How close are we to have an affiliation agreement? Answer: There is an organization interested.
 14. How many affiliations? Answer: One primary.
 15. Can we continue moving forward without an affiliation agreement? Answer: Program will not move forward without affiliation agreement.
 16. Given the economic conditions, can we move forward? Answer: This is being taken into consideration.
 17. Are there plans to put resources into undergrad programs that feed into this? Answer: Will have to look at this.
 18. In what year is the break even? Answer: In the fourth year of operation – when 200 students are in the program and 200 are in clerkships.
 19. Why so many Senior Officers? Answer: The LCME requires a Dean, Associate Dean for Students, and Associate Dean for Curriculum.

Voting Results: 17 total votes out of a possible 18 votes

- 11 Endorse program concept and encourage development of full proposal for review by Graduate Council and then by APC
- 1 Postpone decision on program concept and encourage revision of concept proposal for review by APC
- 5 Reject program concept

B. College of Education and Human Services: Graduate Certificate in College Teaching. Proposal presented by Sarah Marshall and Regina Garza-Mitchell. Issues raised by APC:

1. Why 15 hours? Answer: Certificates are 15-credit hours.
2. Why no practicum? Answer: These students currently teach and this is an opportunity to improve their careers.
3. Great plan. Could sustain as an online program. Answer: That is a long-range plan.
4. At the graduate level, this could be in addition to other disciplines.
5. Revisit budget calculations.
6. Can they take in any order? Answer: Yes, prefer that start with college teaching.
7. Adjuncts would benefit. (ProfEd) gets adjuncts asking these questions.
8. Is there enough faculty? Answer: Yes, can cover courses offer on-campus.
9. Practicum would give experience. Answer: Idea of practicum important, most of these students are currently teaching.

Voting Results: 16 total votes out of a possible 18 votes

- 9 Approve to proceed through the curricular process
- 6 Revise and resubmit a full proposal which responds to concerns of APC and provost
- 1 Do not approval to proceed through curricular process

C. ProfEd (Off-Campus Programs). Proposal presented by Al Zainea. Issues raised by APC:

1. Does the course identified as the capstone function as a capstone? Answer: Yes, it brings in different backgrounds. Needs to be a broad course.
2. How did you select courses? Answer: Had to look at what we have online in the short term and what we would like to have online in the long term. We are using courses we currently have online.
3. Have departments (BCA) been consulted? Answer: Yes, spoke with Dr. Orlik (BCA).

Voting Results: 14 total votes out of a possible 18 votes

- 8 Approve to proceed through the curricular process
- 5 Revise and resubmit a full proposal which responds to concerns of APC and provost
- 1 Do not approval to proceed through curricular process

The next meeting is scheduled for Friday, January 30, 2009 from 1-3 p.m. in the Strosacker Room, Park Library. Deadline for submission of items for this meeting is Thursday, January 22, 2009.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:00 p.m.